



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/625,328

07/23/2003

Sebastien Weitbruch

PD020074

7767

24498 7590 11/30/2009
Robert D. Shedd, Patent Operations
THOMSON Licensing LLC
P.O. Box 5312
Princeton, NJ 08543-5312

EXAMINER

CASCHERA, ANTONIO A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2628

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

11/30/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/625,328	Applicant(s) WEITBRUCH ET AL.	
	Examiner Antonio A. Caschera	Art Unit 2628	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 November 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6 and 17-25 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6 and 17-25 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 05 August 2005 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/16/09</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. Receipt is acknowledged of a request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) and a submission, filed on 11/16/2009.

Priority

2. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). The certified copy has been filed in the pending application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-6, 17-23 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishii et al. (U.S. Patent 6,288,698) in view of Beck et al. ("Motion Dithering for Increasing Perceived Image Quality for Low-Resolution Displays" 13 July 1998, pages 407-410).

In reference to claim 1, Ishii et al. discloses a method for processing video data of video pictures in a video data processing device for display on a display device having a plurality of luminous elements to suppress a dithering pattern from appearing to a viewer observing a moving object on the picture (see column 1, lines 35-53, column 2, lines 35-38, 46-55 and Figure

Art Unit: 2628

1A wherein Ishii et al. discloses a method and system for control of gray scale and brightness characteristics of a display device so that artifacts are eliminated including video dither and checker-like patterns.), the method comprising:

applying a dithering function to at least part of said video data in a dithering device of the video data processing device (see column 4, lines 48-64 and Figures 1A & 6 wherein Ishii et al. discloses applying dither patterns to input RGB data. Ishii et al. explicitly discloses inverting the patterns in certain conditions which the Examiner interprets as functioning equivalent to a dithering function since the patterns are applying certain values at certain conditions.), wherein the dithering improves a grey scale portrayal of video pictures of said video data (see column 2, lines 50-59 wherein Ishii et al. discloses stabilizing gray-scale display of data by controlling the RGB input data via programmable parameters such as dithering pattern characteristics.),

computing at least one motion vector representing the movement of a moving object on a picture from said video data, in a motion estimator device of the video data processing device;

changing at least one of the phase, amplitude, spatial resolution and temporal resolution of said dithering function in accordance with said at least one motion vector when applying the dithering function to said video data in the dithering device of the video data processing device to suppress a dithering pattern from appearing to a viewer observing the moving object on the picture; and

outputting the dithered video data from the video data processing device to the display device to suppress a dithering pattern from appearing to a viewer *observing the moving object on the picture on the display device* (see column 1, lines 35-53, column 2, lines 35-38, 46-55,

Art Unit: 2628

column 3, lines 35-50 and Figure 1A wherein Ishii et al. discloses outputting the dithered data to a display device so that artifacts are eliminated including video dither and checker-like patterns.).

Ishii et al. does not explicitly disclose computing at least one motion vector representing movement of a moving object however Beck et al. does. Beck et al. discloses techniques for increasing the apparent image quality of a displayed image implementing motion dithering which takes both spatial and temporal qualities of a display device to increase the perceived image quality (see "Abstract" & "Objective and Background", page 407). Beck et al. explicitly discloses computing a motion vector representing the movement of the original image over a frame or series of frames to offset the image with respect to the pixels on the final output device (see "Results"& Figure 4, page 408). Beck et al. further discloses utilizing the motion vector in calculating a dithered value for a final resulting image (see pages 408-409, "Results"). Note, the Examiner interprets that the motion vector calculating techniques, incorporated with the dithering techniques of Beck et al. change at least a spatial resolution (if not also a temporal resolution) of the dithering since the motion vector shifts or offsets the pixels of the original image with respect to the display pixels (see left column, page 409 thru right column 409, starting with "Motion Dithering takes..." and Figure 5). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the motion dithering techniques of Beck et al. with the gray scale dithering techniques of Ishii et al. in order to create a higher displayable image quality without increasing a display device's pixel resolution (see page 408, last paragraph of "Objective and Background" of Beck et al.), which is costly, incurs additional and/or stricter hardware requirements and component space issues. As per the specific limitation of the "motion estimator device," Beck et al. explicitly discloses implementing such

Art Unit: 2628

motion estimation techniques in hardware or software (see "Objective and Background", page 407), therefore one of ordinary skill in the art would easily be inclined to execute these techniques in a suitable hardware or software implementation.

In reference to claims 2 and 18, Ishii et al. and Beck et al. disclose all of the claim limitations as applied to claims 1 and 17 respectively in addition, at least Ishii et al. inherently discloses the dithering patterns having two spatial dimensions (x,y or row,col) and a temporal dimension (frame count/number) (see column 2, lines 50-58 and Figure 6).

In reference to claims 3 and 19, Ishii et al. and Beck et al. disclose all of the claim limitations as applied to claims 1 and 17 respectively in addition, Ishii et al. discloses applying dither patterns to input RGB data (see column 4, lines 48-64 and Figures 1A & 6).

In reference to claims 4 and 20, Ishii et al. and Beck et al. disclose all of the claim limitations as applied to claims 1 and 17 respectively in addition, Ishii et al. discloses applying dither patterns to input RGB data (see column 4, lines 48-64 and Figures 1A & 6). Note, the Examiner interprets the RGB data or subpixel data that the dither patterns of Ishii et al. are applied to equivalent to Applicant's "luminous elements" and "cells" of the display device.

In reference to claims 5 and 21, Ishii et al. and Beck et al. disclose all of the claim limitations as applied to claims 1 and 17 respectively in addition, Ishii et al. discloses the dithering function as a 4-bit function since patterns are configured 4x4 and accessed using 0001-1111 addressing (see column 4, lines 48-64 and Figure 6).

In reference to claims 6 and 22, Ishii et al. and Beck et al. disclose all of the claim limitations as applied to claims 1 and 17 respectively in addition, since Beck et al.'s motion vector dithering is based upon an apparent pixel width (see "Results" equation "w=", page 408),

Art Unit: 2628

the Examiner interprets the motion vector is definable for each pixel individually since the width of each pixel is incorporated into the motion dithering calculation.

In reference to claim 17, claim 17 is equivalent in scope to claim 1 and is therefore rejection under like rationale. In addition to the above rationale as applied to claim 1 above, claim 17 further discloses the device for performing the dithering including a dithering device, a motion estimator connected to the dithering device and a means for outputting the dithered data to the display device. As can be seen in Ishii et al., Figure 1A, Ishii et al.'s configuration of hardware includes dithering logic and an output mux. As per the specific limitation of the "motion estimator," Beck et al. explicitly discloses implementing such motion estimation techniques in hardware or software (see "Objective and Background", page 407), therefore one of ordinary skill in the art would easily be inclined to execute these techniques in a suitable hardware or software implementation. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the motion dithering techniques of Beck et al. with the gray scale dithering techniques of Ishii et al. in order to create a higher displayable image quality without increasing a display device's pixel resolution (see page 408, last paragraph of "Objective and Background" of Beck et al.), which is costly, incurs additional and/or stricter hardware requirements and component space issues.

In reference to claim 23, Ishii et al. and Beck et al. disclose all of the claim limitations as applied to claim 17 in addition, Beck et al. explicitly discloses the motion vector to comprise of two components defining two spatial dimensions, m_x , m_y (see "Results" of page 408).

In reference to claim 25, Ishii et al. and Beck et al. disclose all of the claim limitations as applied to claim 17 in addition, Ishii et al. discloses a FRC (frame rate control) logic connected

Art Unit: 2628

to the dithering logic for temporally controlling the dithering based upon video data frames (see columns 5-6, lines 39-53 and Figures 1A, 3).

4. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishii et al. (U.S. Patent 6,288,698), Beck et al. ("Motion Dithering for Increasing Perceived Image Quality for Low-Resolution Displays" 13 July 1998, pages 407-410) and further in view of Wu et al. (U.S. Patent 6,469,708).

In reference to claim 24, Ishii et al. and Beck et al. disclose all of the claim limitations as applied to claim 17. Neither Ishii et al. nor Beck et al. explicitly disclose a gamma function means pre-correcting input data before it is passed to the dithering means. Wu et al. discloses an image dithering technology that implements a gamma table for performing gamma correction upon input data before such data is dithered (see column 1, lines 9-12, column 2, lines 11-23 and Figures 1-2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the gamma correction techniques of Wu et al. with the motion dithering techniques of Beck et al. and gray scale dithering techniques of Ishii et al. in order to correct for nonlinearities in the different display devices which would provide a more accurate signal to perform processing upon initially, ultimately leading to a more accurate output.

Response to Arguments

5. The affidavit under 37 CFR 1.132 filed 11/16/09 is sufficient to overcome the rejection of claims 1-6 and 17-25 based upon the 35 USC 112, 1st paragraph rejection. Therefore, the rejection is withdrawn. Note, Applicant's Remarks filed 11/16/09 further comment and support the affidavit which have also been taken into consideration.

Art Unit: 2628

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Antonio Caschera whose telephone number is (571) 272-7781.

The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday between 7:00 AM and 3:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kee Tung, can be reached at (571) 272-7794.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

571-273-8300 (Central Fax)

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

/Antonio A Caschera/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2628

11/30/09